IN THE KARNATAKA REAL ESTATE APPELATE TRIBUNAL, BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 04TH DAY OF MARCH 2020

PRESENT

HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE B SREENIVASE GOWDA, CHAIRMAN

AND

HON'BLE SRI K P DINESH, JUDICIAL MEMBER

AND

HON'BLE SRI P S SOMASHEKAR, ADMINISTRATIVE MEMBER

APPEAL (K-REAT) NO.35/2020

(OLD RERA. APL No.35 of 2019)

BETWEEN:

M/s Unishire Skyscapes LLP, A Limited Liability Partnership concern, Having its registered office at No.36, Railway Parallel Road, Nehru Nagar, Kumara Park West, Bengaluru – 560 020 Rep. by its Designated Partner Sri. G Nanda Kumar S/o Late Govindaswamy, Aged about 52 years

:APPELLANT

(By G S Venkat Subbarao, Advocate, Absent)

AND

 The interim Real Estate Regulatory Authority Constituted under The Real Estate (Regulation and Development) Act, 2016 Having it office at No.1/14, Ground floor, Silver Jubilee Block, Unity Building, CSI Compound, 3rd Cross, Mission Road, Bengaluru-560 027 Represented by its Secretary.

 Sri. K R Paul Major, R/a: FF-11, 'B'Block, SLS Silver Oak, Indian Express Layout Road, Virupakshapura, Kodigehalli, Bengaluru – 560 097.

:RESPONDENTS

(Sri M V Prashanth Advocate for Respondent-1)

This Appeal is filed under Section 44 of the Real Estate (Regulation and Development) Act, 2016 before the Karnataka Appellate Tribunal, Bengaluru, to set aside the order dated 04th December 2018 in CMP/180813/0001138 passed by the Adjudicating Officer, RERA Respondent-1. This appeal was transferred to this Tribunal on 02.01.2020 and renumbered as Appeal No.(K-REAT) 35/2020.

This appeal coming on for orders this day, the Chairman, made the following:

JUDGMENT

On 9.1.2020, appellant was granted time till 7.2.2020 for compliance of office objections. On 7.2.2020 also, there was no representation for the appellant nor office objections were complied with and therefore, in order to give one more opportunity, appeal was ordered to be listed today with a condition that failing to comply office objections before this day, why appeal should not be dismissed for non-prosecution and for non-compliance of office objections and further office was directed to communicate this order to the appellant's counsel by phone. In spite of communicating the order dated 7.2.2020 to the appellant's counsel over phone neither office objections were complied with nor there is any representation for the appellant.

Hence, appeal is dismissed both for non-prosecution and for noncompliance of office objections.

OTA

Sd/-**HON'BLE CHAIRMAN**

Sd/-HON'BLE JUDICIAL MEMBER

Sd/-HON'BLE ADMINISTRATIVE MEMBER