### IN THE KARNATAKA REAL ESTATE APPELATE TRIBUNAL, BENGALURU

### DATED THIS THE $12^{\text{TH}}$ DAY OF AUGUST 2020

### **PRESENT**

## HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE B SREENIVASE GOWDA, CHAIRMAN AND

# HON'BLE SRI P S SOMASHEKAR, ADMINISTRATIVE MEMBER APPEAL (K-REAT) NO. 205/2020 (OLD RERA. APL No. 276 of 2019)

### BETWEEN:

NHDPL Properties Pvt. Ltd
Earlier Nitesh Housing Developers
Private Limited,
Having its registered office at
No. 110, Andrews Building,
MG Road, Bengaluru – 560 001
Represented by its Authorised Representative
Sri Prasad Badhya,
Son of Sri Seetarama Badhya,
Aged about 40 years

:APPELLANT

(By Sri Samarth Shreedhar M/s Shetty & Hegde Associates, Adv,.)

### AND

Sri Ajay Shankar,
 Son of Late. Shankar Rao,
 Aged about 33 years
 Residing at Flat No.3,
 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor, Ligoury Court,
 No.7, Palm Grove Road,
 Victoria Layout,
 Bengaluru – 560 047

**2.** Adjudicating Officer

Real Estate (Regulation and Development) Authority, No. 1/14, Ground Floor, Silver Jubilee Block, Unity Building, CSI Compound, 3rd Cross, Mission Road, Bengaluru – 560 075 :RESPO

:RESPONDENTS

(Sri Basavaraj V Sabarad, Adv., (RERA) for Respondent)

This Appeal is filed under Section 44 of the Real Estate (Regulation and Development) Act, 2016 before the Karnataka Appellate Tribunal, Bengaluru, to set aside the order dated 10<sup>th</sup> October, 2018 in CMP/180721/0001061 passed by the Adjudicating Officer, RERA Respondent No.2. This appeal was transferred to this Tribunal on 02.01.2020 and renumbered as Appeal No.(K-REAT) 205/2020.

This appeal coming on for orders this day, Chairman delivered the following:

### **JUDGMENT**

Sri Samarth Shreedhar for M/s Shetty and Hegde Associates, learned counsel appearing for the appellant submits that the appellant and contesting Respondents 1 and 2 have got their dispute settled outside the Court and therefore the appeal may be dismissed as settled out of Court.

Sri S.N. Aswathnarayan, learned counsel appears for R3-RERA.

Since this appeal is at the stage of non-compliance of office objections regarding deposit of 30% of the amount ordered to be

paid to R1 and R2 towards delay compensation and notice to R1 and R2 is not yet ordered, the following order is passed:

The submission made by learned counsel appearing for the appellant that the appellant and contesting Respondents 1 and 2 have got their dispute settled out of Court is placed on record and the appeal is **dismissed as settled out of court** and for noncompliance of office objections.

Sd/-HON'BLE CHAIRMAN

Sd/-HON'BLE ADMINISTRATIVE MEMBER