KARNATAKA STATE LEGAL SERVICES AUTHORITY BEFORE THE LOK ADALAT

IN THE KARNATAKA REAL ESTATE APPELLATE TRIBUNAL BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 12TH DAY OF NOVEMBER, 2022

:CONCILIATORS PRESENT:

HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE B. SREENIVASE GOWDA, CHAIRMAN AND

SRI SHASHANK. S, ADVOCATE, CONCILIATOR APPEAL (K-REAT) NO. 80/2022

Between:

Harish Babu M.L S/o Lakshminarayana Aged about 47 years No. 13(28) 1st Floor, 8th Cross, 9th Main, 2nd Block, Jayanagar, Bangalore-560 011.

:APPELLANT

(By Sri Rajana Salanke G for Sri Girish Kumar, Advocate)

And:

- The Secretary,
 The Karnataka Real Estate Regulatory Authority,
 Second Floor, Silver Jubilee Block,
 Unity Building, CSI Compound,
 3rd Cross, Mission Road,
 Bengaluru 560 027
 Represented by Secretary.
- Antevorta Developers Pvt Ltd Represented by Kumar Jaisom, House of Hiranandani, 757/B, 100 Feet Road, HAL 2nd Stage, Indiranagar, Bangalore-560 038.

:RESPONDENTS

(R1- RERA served, unrepresented) (Sri Chethan Prasad, Advocate for R2) The appellant has filed the above appeal under Section 44 (1) of the Real Estate (Regulation and Development) Act, 2016, praying to set aside the impugned order dated 05.08.2022 passed by the Authority, RERA, Bengaluru in CMP/190311/0002424.

This appeal coming on for recording settlement before National Lok Adalat, this day, the following conciliation order is passed:

CONCILIATION ORDER

The learned counsel appearing for the appellant and the Respondent No. 2 are present.

- 2. After due discussions and deliberations, the Appellantallottee and respondent No.2-promoter have got their dispute pertaining to the subject matter of the complaint and this appeal settled amicably and they requested to dispose of the appeal as settled between the parties.
- 3. A Joint Memo signed by the appellant-allottee, Respondent No.2-promoter and learned counsel for the appellant-allottee & Respondent No.2-promoter is taken on record.
- 4. Both parties to the proceedings have agreed that they have no claim whatsoever against each other in respect of the subject matter of the above complaint and appeal.

- 5. The parties submit that there is no collusion/force/fraud or any undue influence in entering into the instant compromise and executing the Joint Memo.
- 6. Accordingly, the appeal is disposed of in terms of the Joint Memo. The Joint Memo filed by the parties shall be treated as part and parcel of this order.
- 7. The Registry to comply with the provisions of Section-44(4) of the RERA Act and to return the records if received.

Sd/-HON'BLE CHAIRMAN

Sd/-ADVOCATE - CONCILIATOR