CMP. No. 8832

13.08.2022

Before the Lok-Adalat

The above case is taken up before the Lok-Adalat. The joint memo filed by both the parties is hereby accepted. Hence, the matter is settled before the Lok-Adalat as per joint memo. The joint memo filed by the parties shall be part and partial of award/order.

The complaint stands disposed off accordingly.

Judicial Conciliator.

Advocate Conciliator.



ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ಲಯಲ್ ಎಸ್ಟೇಟ್ ನಿಯಂತ್ರಣ ಪ್ರಾಧಿಕಾರ

COSEFIR, 27		0 04000
	ost <u>COMO-8832</u>	ಪುಟ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ
ವಿಷಯ	Pradomna Thiyayarajan	V & Vaishali s
ಕಂಡಿಕೆ	YD Breiters	
ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ	ಟಿಪ್ಪಣಿ ಮತ್ತು ಆದೇಶಗಳು	
	CMP-8832	
	12.08.2022	

12.08.2022

As per the request of the complainants Prasanna and Vaishali, landowners Shri Sunil and developers Sri.M. Sreenivasa Reddy the matter is referred before the Lok Adalat.

The complainants Shri Prasanna and his wife Shrimati Vaishali, landowners Shri Sunil and developer Shri.M. Sreenivasa Reddy are present in the pre-Lok-Adalat sitting held on 12.08.2022 settled the dispute relating to the subject matter of the complaint and filed the joint memo, stating that matter has been settled between the parties in terms of the settlement agreement dated: 12.08.2022 entered between them.

Shrimati H.H. Sujatha, advocate filed vakalath on behalf of the developers/builders.

The settlement entered between the parties is voluntary and legal one. Hence, settlement is accepted. For consideration of joint memo and award, matter is referred to Lok-Adalat to be held on 13.08.2022.

Advocate Conciliator.

Judicial Conciliator.

BEFORE LOK-ADALAT IN THE KARNATAKA REAL ESTATE REGULATORY AUTHORITY, AT BENGALURU

COMPLAINT NO: CMP/220119/0008832

Complainant

Mr. Prasanna Thiyagarajan Vijayakumar

Mrs. S. Vaishali

-Vs-

Respondent

M/s. YD Builders represented by A Sunil.

JOINT MEMO

The complainants and the respondent in the above complaint jointly submit as under:

- 1. The complainants/allottees, first land owner and the first respondent/promoter after due deliberation have got their dispute pertaining to the subject matter of the complaint settled amicably before the Lok Adalat, in terms of settlement of agreement enclosed to this joint memo.
- 2. Today the above said persons are present before the lok adalath and the land owners except Sri Sunil and the 2nd developer Sri Suresh have affixed their signature to the settlement agreement and they have appeared before me through video.
- 3. In view of the same, they jointly request this Lok Adalat to dispose of the complaint as amicably settled before the Lok Adalat.
- 4. Both the parties to the proceedings have no claim whatsoever against each other in respect of the subject matter of the above complaint before any forum or court relating to the subject matter of the above complaint. If there is any claim by either of the parties, they have agreed that the same be disposed off as settled by filling an appropriate memo in such cases.

For Y.D BUILDERS

Partner

A. Ant

5. Parties further request that this settlement be recorded in the Bruhath National Lok - Adalat scheduled to be held on 13.08.2022.

Bengaluru:

Date: 13.08.2022

SNawhali 3 8 22
Complainants/allottees

V. P. 777 is/08/2022

M. Quinimer Bull BUILDERS

Builder Sreenivasa Reddy

Partner

Land owner Sri Sunil

Sight H.H.
Advocate for builder 13 08 222

KARNATAKA SATE LEGAL SERVICES AUTHORITY BEFORE THE LOK ADALAT

IN THE KARNATAKA REAL ESTATE REGULATORY AUTHORITY AT BENGALURU

DATED: 13TH DAY OF AUGUST 2022

: CONCILIATORS PRESENT:

Sri. K. Palakshappa

...... Judicial Conciliator

AND

Sri. Dinesh S

...... Advocate conciliator

COMPLAINT NO: CMP/220119/0008832

Between

1. Mr. Prasanna Thiyagarajan V

2. Mrs. Vaishali S (In Person)

..... Complainant/s

AND

M/s. YD Builders

(By: Sujatha H.H. Advocate.)

.....Respondent/s

Award

The dispute between the parties having been referred for determination to the Lok Adalat and the parties having compromised/settled the matter, in terms of joint memo dated: 12.08.2022 filed during the pre Lok Adalat sitting on dated: 12.08.2022, same is accepted. The settlement entered between the parties is voluntary and legal one.

The complaint stands disposed off in terms of the joint memo and joint memo is ordered to be treated as part and partial of the award.

Judicial conciliator

Advocate conciliator