

ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ರಿಯಲ್ ಎಸ್ಟೇಟ್ ನಿಯಂತ್ರಣ ಪ್ರಾಧಿಕಾರ,

Karnataka Real Estate Regulatory Authority,
1/14, 2nd Floor, Silver Jubilee Block, Unity Building Backside, CSI Compound,
3rd Cross, Mission Road, Bengaluru-560027

PROCEEDINGS OF THE AUTHORITY

Dated 20th September 2022

COMPLAINT No: CMP/210629/0008068

COMPLAINANT....

**Mr. Savithena Phani Chandra &
Mrs. Devipriya Mutyala**
017, A Block, Sai Gardens,
Kempapura Main Road,
Yemalur,
Bengaluru-560037.

(In Person)

V/S

RESPONDENT.....

Aryan Hometec Pvt Ltd.,
No.609, 15th Cross,
JP Nagar 6th Phase,
Bengaluru-560078.
(Ex-parte)

J U D G E M E N T

1. This complaint is filed under section 31 of the RERA Act, against the project '**ARYAN GOLDEN ARENA-F**' developed by "**ARYAN HOMETEC PRIVATE LIMITED**" on Attibele-Sarjapura Main Road, Bidaraguppe Village, Attibele Hobli, Anekal Taluk, Bangalore District for the relief of refund with interest.

2. This project has been registered under RERA bearing registration No. PRM/KA/RERA/1251/310/PR/171201/001508.

3. **Brief facts of the complaint:-** The complainant has booked a Flat No.807, Block F, in the project known as "**ARYAN GOLDEN ARENA-F**" by



ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ರಿಯಲ್ ಎಸ್ಟೇಟ್ ನಿಯಂತ್ರಣ ಪ್ರಾಧಿಕಾರ,

Karnataka Real Estate Regulatory Authority,

1/14, 2nd Floor, Silver Jubilee Block, Unity Building Backside, CSI Compound,
3rd Cross, Mission Road, Bengaluru-560027

entering into an agreement for sale, as well as construction agreement and Tripartite Agreement dated 12/7/2017 with respondent (who is a GPA Holder). In pursuance of the aforesaid agreement for sale, construction agreement and tripartite agreement, the applicant has paid a total amount of Rs.13,60,933/- including loan amount sanctioned by Piramal Capital & Housing Finance till date towards the aforesaid flat thereof.

4. In terms of aforesaid construction agreement, the respondent was supposed to handover the flat to the complainant on or before January 2019 with a grace period of nine months i.e. by the end of October 2019. However, the respondent has not handed over the flat on one or the other pretext. The complainant has claimed that as there is inordinate delay on the part of respondent in handing over the flat, has lost confidence with the respondent, and has sought relief of refund with interest. The respondent has also stopped paying pre-EMI to the Bank as promised. Hence, the complaint.

5. After registration of the complaint, several notices and summons were sent to the respondent on his old and new addresses for appearance before the Authority. Finally paper publication was issued in local Kannada daily newspaper "HOSA DIGANTHA" for the appearance of the respondent. In spite of paper publication, the respondent did not appear before the Authority and hence, has been placed as ex-parte.

6. This matter was heard on 30/5/2022, 16/6/2022, 30/6/2022, 12/7/2022, 4/8/2022 and 15/9/2022. The case was finally posted for orders on 15/9/2022.

7. In support of his claim, the complainant has submitted documents such as (a) Agreement for sale dated 12/7/2017, (b) Agreement for construction dated 12/7/2017 (c) Tripartite agreement (d) Statement of account from Piramal Capital & Housing Finance (e) Payment receipts, (f) Memo of

MHS

ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ರಿಯಲ್ ಎಸ್ಟೇಟ್ ನಿಯಂತ್ರಣ ಪ್ರಾಧಿಕಾರ,

Karnataka Real Estate Regulatory Authority,

1/14, 2nd Floor, Silver Jubilee Block, Unity Building Backside, CSI Compound,
3rd Cross, Mission Road, Bengaluru-560027

calculation as on 20/5/2022 (g) Paper publication published in "Hosa Digantha" Kannada daily dated 24.08.2022.

8. On the above averments, the following points would arise for our consideration:

1. Whether the complainant is entitled to the relief claimed?

2. What order?

9. Our findings on the above points are as under:

1. In the Affirmative

2. As per final order for the following:

FINDINGS

10. Our findings on point no.1:

From the materials placed on record, it is apparent that inspite of entering into an agreement for sale and construction to hand over possession of the flat, the builder has not completed the project as per agreement and has not handed over the apartment to the complainant till date. Hence the builder has failed to abide by the terms of agreement for sale. There seems to be no possibility of completing the project or handing over the possession in near future.

At this juncture, our attention is drawn towards the judgement of Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in CIVIL APPEAL NO(S). 3581-359 2022, Civil Appeal Diary No: 9796/2019 between M/s Imperia Structures Limited vs. Anil Patni& others, it is held as under:

"23. In terms of Section 18 of the RERA Act, if a promoter fails to complete or is unable to give possession of an apartment duly completed by the date specified in the agreement, the Promoter would

Handwritten signature

ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ರಿಯಲ್ ಎಸ್ಟೇಟ್ ನಿಯಂತ್ರಣ ಪ್ರಾಧಿಕಾರ,

Karnataka Real Estate Regulatory Authority,

1/14, 2nd Floor, Silver Jubilee Block, Unity Building Backside, CSI Compound,
3rd Cross, Mission Road, Bengaluru-560027

be liable, on demand, to return the amount received by him in respect of that apartment if the allottee wishes to withdraw from the Project. Such right of an allottee is specifically made "without prejudice to any other remedy available to him". The right so given to the allottee is unqualified and if availed, the money deposited by the allottee has to be refunded with interest at such rate as may be prescribed. The proviso to Section 18(1) contemplates a situation where the allottee does not intend to withdraw from the Project. In that case he is entitled to and must be paid interest for every month of delay till the handing over of the possession. It is upto the allottee to proceed either under Section 18(1) or under proviso to Section 18(1). The RERA Act thus definitely provides a remedy to an allottee who wishes to withdraw from the Project or claim return on his investment".

In case the allottee wishes to withdraw from the project the promoter is liable without prejudice to any other remedy available, to return the amount received by him in respect of that apartment, plat, building as the case may be with interest such rate as may be prescribed in this behalf including compensation in the manner as provided under this Act.

Therefore, as per **section 18(1)** of the Act, the promoter is liable to return the amount received along with interest and compensation if the promoter fails to complete or provide possession of an apartment etc., in accordance with sale agreement.

From the averments of the complaint and the copies of agreement between the parties, it is obvious that complainant has already paid substantial sale consideration. Having accepted the said amount and failure to keep up the promise to hand over possession of apartment and paying pre-EMIs to the Bank certainly entitles the complainant herein for refund with interest. The complainant has submitted his memo of calculation as on 20/5/2022 claiming refund with interest for Rs.20,31,667/-. Despite several opportunities given to the respondent and notice published in the local Kannada daily newspaper for his personal appearance, the respondent failed to appear before the Authority. This conduct of the respondent clearly



ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ರಿಯಲ್ ಎಸ್ಟೇಟ್ ನಿಯಂತ್ರಣ ಪ್ರಾಧಿಕಾರ,

Karnataka Real Estate Regulatory Authority,

1/14, 2nd Floor, Silver Jubilee Block, Unity Building Backside, CSI Compound,
3rd Cross, Mission Road, Bengaluru-560027

shows that he has taken the complainant for a ride and deprived him of owning his own flat. The Authority upholds the refund amount claimed by the complainant vide his memo of calculation as on 20/5/2022.

Therefore, it is incumbent upon the respondent to refund the amount with interest which is determined as under:

Interest Calculation Till 30/04/2017 (Before RERA)				
S.NO	DATE	AMOUNT PAID BY CUSTOMER	NO OF DAYS TILL 30/04/2017	INTEREST @9%
1			TOTAL INTEREST (I1)	0

Interest Calculation From 01/05/2017 (After RERA)							
S.NO	DATE FROM 01/05/2017	AMOUNT PAID BY CUSTOMER	NO OF DAYS	NO OF DAYS TILL	MCLR INTEREST X%	INTEREST RATE X+2%	INTEREST @X+2%
1	01-05-2017	0	1845	20-05-2022	8.15	10.15 as on 01-05-2017	0
2	05-05-2017	1,31,014	1841	20-05-2022	8.15	10.15 as on 01-05-2017	67,072
3	20-07-2017	12,29,919	1765	20-05-2022	8.15	10.15 as on 01-07-2017	6,03,662
4	TOTAL AMOUNT	13,60,933				TOTAL INTEREST (I2)	6,70,734

Handwritten signature

ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ರಿಯಲ್ ಎಸ್ಟೇಟ್ ನಿಯಂತ್ರಣ ಪ್ರಾಧಿಕಾರ,

Karnataka Real Estate Regulatory Authority,

1/14, 2nd Floor, Silver Jubilee Block, Unity Building Backside, CSI Compound,
3rd Cross, Mission Road, Bengaluru-560027

Memo Calculation			
PRINCIPLE AMOUNT (A)	INTEREST (B = I1 + I2) AS ON 20-05-2022	REFUND FROM PROMOTER (C)	TOTAL BALANCE AMOUNT (A + B - C)
13,60,933	6,70,734	0	20,31,667


12. Accordingly, the point raised above is answered in the Affirmative.

13. **Our findings on point no.2:** In view of the above discussion, the complaint deserves to be allowed. Hence, we proceed to pass the following order:

ORDER

In exercise of the powers conferred under Section 31 of the Real Estate(Regulation and Development) Act, 2016, the complaint bearing No: **CMP/210629/0008068** is hereby allowed.

1. The respondent is hereby directed to refund amount of Rs.20,31,667/- (Rupees Twenty Lakh Thirty One Thousand Six Hundred and Sixty Seven only) towards refund with interest to the complainant within 60 days from the date of this order, calculated at MCLR + 2% from 01/05/2017 till 20/05/2022. The interest due from 21/05/2022 up to the date of final payment will be calculated likewise and paid to the complainant. The complainant is at liberty to initiate action for recovery in accordance with law if the respondent fails to pay the amount as per the order of this Authority.


(Neelmani N Raju)
Member-2, KRERA