ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ರಿಯಲ್ ಎಸ್ಟೇಟ್ ನಿಯಂತ್ರಣ ಪ್ರಾಧಿಕಾರ,

Karnataka Real Estate Regulatory Authority,

1/14, 2nd Floor, Silver Jubilee Block, Unity Building Backside, CSI Compound, 3rd Cross, Mission Road, Bengaluru-560027

PROCEEDINGS OF THE AUTHORITY BEFORE BENCH 6

PRESIDED BY HON'BLE MEMBER SMT.NEELMANI N RAJU

DATED 3rd OCTOBER 2022

Complaint No:CMP/220323/0009239

COMPLAINANT:

MR. RAM BABU SINGH NO.14, VISHNU NIVAS MUNISWAMY GOWDA LAYOUT HEBBAL, KEMPAPURA BANGALORE-560024.

(In Person)

V/S

RESPONDENT:

OZONE URBANA INFRA DEVELOPERS PVT LTD NO.38, ULSOOR ROAD BANGALORE-560042.

(By Sri.Deepak Bhaskar & Associates, Advocates)

ORDER

This complaint is filed under section 31 of the RERA Act against the project "Ozone Urbana" for the relief of compensation for deficiency in services.

Brief facts of the complaint:

1. The complainant has purchased a flat from Ozone Group on payment of total sale consideration. The complainant submits that he has received the handover letter on 6/12/2019 from the respondent in respect of the Flat No.G002 but there is no power and water supply provided rendering him unable to live in the flat. The respondent has not given any response despite

relus

ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ರಿಯಲ್ ಎಸ್ಟೇಟ್ ನಿಯಂತ್ರಣ ಪ್ರಾಧಿಕಾರ,

Karnataka Real Estate Regulatory Authority,

1/14, 2nd Floor, Silver Jubilee Block, Unity Building Backside, CSI Compound, 3rd Cross, Mission Road, Bengaluru-560027

several requests. The complainant is seeking compensation from 6/12/2019 to till date. Hence, this complaint.

- 2. After registration of the complaint, in pursuance of the notice, the respondent has appeared through its counsel, but have not filed any statement of objections nor produced documents on their behalf.
- 3. A perusal of the complaint and written submission submitted to the Authority by the complainant reveals that the complainant has entered into sale agreement for purchase of the flat on 6/12/2019 and received the handover letter from the respondent on 6/12/2019 itself. There is no delay on the part of the respondent in handing over the possession. Hence, the respondent is not liable to pay any delay compensation as prayed by the complainant. In respect of compensation for the deficiency in services, the complainant may approach the Adjudicating Officer.

4. CASE IS DISMISSED AS CLOSED.

OTP

(NEELMANI N RAJU) MEMBER-2, KRERA