Karnataka Real Estate Regulatory Authority,

1/14, 2nd Floor, Silver Jubilee Block, Unity Building Backside, CSI Compound, 3rd Cross, Mission Road, Bengaluru-560027

PROCEEDINGS OF THE AUTHORITY BEFORE BENCH-4

CORUM

SHRI. H.C. KISHORE CHANDRA, CHAIRMAN

Dated 30th November 2022

COMPLAINT No: CMP/UR /211120/0008612

COMPLAINANT....

Prashantha Padiyar

Nanda Gokula Pandeshwara Village Sasthan

Udupi-576226

(represented by Mr. Nagendra Bhat & Co Chartered Accountants)

V/S

RESPONDENT.....

Amrith Shenoy Shree Lakshmee Homes & Infrastructures Ananth, Ranganath Shenoy Compound Volakadu, Udupi-576101.

(Exparte)



Karnataka Real Estate Regulatory Authority,

1/14, 2nd Floor, Silver Jubilee Block, Unity Building Backside, CSI Compound, 3rd Cross, Mission Road, Bengaluru-560027

INTERIM ORDER

- 1. The complainant Ms. Ramya D.R. has filed a complaint under section 31 of Real Estate (Regulation and Development) Act, 2016 against the project "Voyeger" developed by "Shree Lakshmee Homes & Infrastructures" in the limits of Sy.No., 87A-11A and 87A-11B, Voyeger, Moodanidamboor Village, Ambalpady Grampanchayath, Udupi Taluk for the relief of noncompletion and handover of the project.
 - 2. This project is not registered in RERA.
 - 3. The gist of the complaint is that the complainant herein had entered into agreement of sale on 31.3.2014 for the purchase of apartment bearing Nos. 504 measuring 750 Sq.ft. and 505 measuring 810 Sq.ft in the project "Voyager" developed by the respondent/promoter. On 14th March 2014, the proprietor of Shree Lakshmee Homes and Infrastructure had agreed to sell flat no. 604 in the project "Voyager" for agreed payment of Rs.45,00,000/- and the flat numbers have been shown as 504/505. This was later changed by the respondent to 604. The respondent is required to hand over the possession by March 2017. The respondent/promoter followed up payments regularly and the complainant has paid an amount of Rs.43,50,000/- through bank transfer directly to the company account of the respondent. The promoter did not complete the project till date. The complainant insisted upon the specific performance of the contract as per agreement. But the respondent even failed to refund the amount as was The complainant sought relief of refund with interest. Hence, this complaint.



Karnataka Real Estate Regulatory Authority,

1/14, 2nd Floor, Silver Jubilee Block, Unity Building Backside, CSI Compound, 3rd Cross, Mission Road, Bengaluru-560027

- **4.** After registration of the complaint, in pursuance of notice served, the respondent absented himself from appearance before the Authority during the proceedings held on 26/09/2022, 19/10/2022, 07/11/2022 and finally on 28/11/2022.
- 5. In support of his claim, the complainant has produced documents such as (1) Agreement of Sale dated 31/05/2014 (2) Details of payment made to respondent (3) memo of calculation.
- **6.** On going through the records, it is noticed that this project has not been registered in RERA. At this stage, it is just and necessary to pass an interim order directing the promoter to get the project registered immediately. Further proceedings are required to be initiated against the promoter under Section 59 of the Act for violation of Section-3 of the Real Estate (Regulation and Development) Act, 2016. Hence, I am of the opinion that it is necessary to pass an interim order directing the respondent/promoter to register the project immediately under RERA.
- **7**. On consideration of the submissions of the complainant, the following order is passed.

INTERIM ORDER

The Respondent/Promoter M/s Shree Lakshmee Homes and Infrastructures, Flat No; 201, Grasslands O'ville, Bhramagiri Circle, Convent Road, Brahmagiri, Udupi is hereby directed to register the project under RERA immediately under section 3 of the Real Estate



Karnataka Real Estate Regulatory Authority,

1/14, 2nd Floor, Silver Jubilee Block, Unity Building Backside, CSI Compound, 3rd Cross, Mission Road, Bengaluru-560027

(Regulation and Development) Act, 2016, within two weeks from the date of receipt of this order.

The Promoter is also given opportunity to submit his explanation within three weeks, as to why penalty proceedings u/s 59(1) of the Act should not be initiated for violation of Section 3 of the Act.

(H.C. Kishore Chandra)

Chairman K-RERA