



PROCEEDINGS OF THE AUTHORITY
DATED 12th March 2020

Ref. No.	CMP/171003/0000093
Complainant	Shyam Mohan Jayamohan TC 14 / 1752(2), Amrutham, Arappura Lane, Kannammoola Medical College P O Kerala 695011. Thiruvananthapuram Rep. by: Sri Rishabha Raj Thakur, Advocate.
Opponent	KSR Properties Pvt. Ltd., 23, Sankey Apartment, Square Sankey Cross Road, Sadashivanagar Bengaluru -560003 Rep.by :Sri R.Muralidara, Advocate

"J U D G E M E N T"

1. Shyam Mohan Jayamohan, Complainant has filed this complaint bearing complaint no.CMP/171003/0000093 under Section 31 of The Real Estate (Regulation and Development) Act 2016 (Act) against the project KSR CORDELIA developed by "KSR Properties Pvt. Ltd.," as the complainant is an Allottee in the said project. The complaint is as follows:

Dear Sir/Madam, My wife, Reshma Saratchandran and I have bought a flat from KSR properties project named 'KSR Cordelia' situated at survey number 67/1 67/2, Dasarahalli, Krishnarajapuram hobli, bangalore east. The construction of the apartment started in 2012 and the sale agreement was executed on 9th May, 2015 and builder has agreed to handover the flat within 10 months i.e by March 9th 2016. The builder did not complete the construction till now and my family and I have suffered lot of mental agony and financial loss. Myself and my wife have taken loan from SBI, Indiranagar for this and we have paid more than 80% of the sale total vale of 8167618. Because of the delay we have to pay both rent and interest of the loan. The builder also agreed to provide a Luxury apartment, but now the builder is compromising on quality of work and amenities. The builder did not register themselves in RERA and they are trying to evade the rules. My wife and I kindly request you to take strict action against KSR

Reshma Saratchandran

Muralidara

[Signature]



Karnataka Real Estate Regulatory Authority,
1/14, 2nd Floor, Silver Jubilee Block, Unity Building Backside, CSI Compound,
3rd Cross, Mission Road, Bengaluru-560027



properties pvt ltd and its managing director Mr. Ramana Reddy and make them register with RERA and give us the delay compensation in accordance with RERA rules as soon as possible. Thanks and regards, Shyam Mohan Jayamohan +91-8951511149 / +91-9349402115

Relief Sought from RERA: Compensation for delay in accordance with RERA

2. This project is not registered with RERA, Karnataka. The present complainant has filed his complaint seeking for possession with delay compensation. Similar complaints are filed with the Authority for seeking Registration of the Project, seeking award of compensation for the delay and issue of directions to the Project Promoter to handover the possession of the apartment as required and complete the Registration of the property as required under the Act. All such complaints seeking similar relief are heard by the Authority.
3. In response to the summons issued by this authority, the parties were present. The complainant is represented by his advocate Sri.Rishabha Raj Thakur and the developer is represented by his advocate Sri R. Muralidhar.
4. Issues raised by the Complainant and the objections and explanations submitted by the Respondent are taken into consideration.
5. The complainant has sought delay compensation from the developer. According to the complainant, the developer has executed agreement of sale on 09.05.2015 wherein the developer has agreed to deliver the possession of his unit bearing No.CB-03-03 within 10 months, accordingly the possession was to be delivered on or before 09.06.2016 including grace period. It is alleged by the complainant that the project has not been completed till date, in all its aspects such as external and internal development works and the requisite amenities.

Udhayaram

Udhayaram

[Signature]



Karnataka Real Estate Regulatory Authority,

1/14, 2nd Floor, Silver Jubilee Block, Unity Building Backside, CSI Compound,
3rd Cross, Mission Road, Bengaluru-560027



6. The developer has appeared through his counsel and filed detailed objection to the allegations made by the complainant. In para-5 of the objection statement he has admitted that there is delay, but he has given his excuses stating that there was a delay due to various reasons such as labour problem, demonetisation, implementation of GST and other reasons. It is said by the developer that he has more than 150 happy customers, but the complainant has not paid required amount as per agreement and showing hostile attitude. It is also alleged by the developer that the purchasers have formed a group and giving bad image to the others against the developer. Further he has submitted that it is a Joint Development Agreement where it was agreed to construct 272 units out of it 176 is belonging to the developer. He further submitted that he has agreed to pay Rs.6 per sq.ft, per month as delay compensation and the complainant has also taken that compensation. It is submitted that the complainant cannot seek more than Rs.6 per sq.ft., per month as it is agreed in the agreement.
7. Since the developer has submitted that he has paid delay compensation @ Rs.6 per sq.ft. per month, it proves that there is a delay. Therefore, the authority need not discuss much to give finding on finding on that aspect. We would say that, the developer is bound to compensate as per Sec.18 and 19 of the Act. Before the commencement of this Act, the developer was bound to give compensation as per Section 8 of Karnataka Apartment Ownership Act 1972 where the interest by way of delay compensation has to be paid on the total amount in the form of interest @ 9% p.a. After induction of the Act, the delay compensation is @ 2% above the MCLR of SBI commencing from 01.05.2017 on the total amount paid by the complainant. When that being the case, the compensation as mentioned in the agreement has no force at all. It is not correct on the part of the developer to say that he is obliged to pay Rs.6/-per sq. ft. per month. As per the agreement the developer has to complete the project on or before 09/06/2016 from the date of agreement including grace period. It is not correct on the

Vishwanathan

ms. san

[Signature]



Karnataka Real Estate Regulatory Authority,
1/14, 2nd Floor, Silver Jubilee Block, Unity Building Backside, CSI Compound,
3rd Cross, Mission Road, Bengaluru-560027



part of the developer to say that the complainant who had taken the compensation @ Rs.6/-per sq. ft., per month is debarred from claiming the compensation as per the Act. As per the submission made by the complainant, the developer was expected to complete the project on or before 09/06/2016, but till today it is not completed. The developer who has paid the compensation admitting the delay is an important aspect to award compensation here because the developer has compensated the complainant at the rate of Rs.6/- per sq.ft., is very much low, since the complainant is entitled for delay compensation as per Rule 16. Hence, the complaint has to be allowed.

8. Hence the following order:

ORDER

- a. The Complaint filed by the complainant bearing No.CMP/171003/0000093 is hereby allowed.
- b. The developer is hereby directed to pay delay compensation @ 9% p.a. simple interest on the total amount paid as on 10/06/2016 till 30.04.2017 as per KOFA Act and @ 2% above the MCLR of SBI simple interest on the total amount commencing from 01.05.2017 till possession is delivered, with amenities, and after obtaining occupancy certificate.
- c. The compensation amount already paid by the developer shall be deducted from the amount payable by the developer as per this order.
- d. The promoter of the project is directed to deliver the possession of the apartment, after ensuring that all the internal and external development works are completed and the requisite amenities are provided, without further delay.
- e. The promoter is also directed to Register the apartment in favour of the Allottee Complainant, after obtaining Occupancy Certificate.

Li Shunwardu

Amranda

[Signature]




Karnataka Real Estate Regulatory Authority,

1/14, 2nd Floor, Silver Jubilee Block, Unity Building Backside, CSI Compound,
3rd Cross, Mission Road, Bengaluru-560027




- f. The developer is hereby directed to pay Rs.5,000/- as cost of the petition.
- g. As regards the Registration of the Project, a separate order is passed, a copy of which shall be sent to the Complainant as well as to the Respondent.

Intimate the parties regarding the order.


(D. Vishnuvardhana Reddy)
Member-1
K-RERA


(Adoni Syed Saleem)
Member-2
K-RERA


(M.R. Kamble)
Chairman
K-RERA

